## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-0859

LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs

Philadelphia, PennsylvaniaFebruary 17, 20179:40 o'clock a.m. V.

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., et al.,

Defendants

. . . . . . . . . . .

JURY TRIAL - DAY 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAN E. DUBOIS SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

DANIEL J. GOETTLE, ESQUIRE For the Plaintiffs:

> DALE M. HEIST, ESQUIRE Baker & Hostetler, LLP Cira Centre, 12th Floor

2929 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891

WILLIAM T. HANGLEY, ESQUIRE REBECCA SANTORO MELLEY, ESQUIRE Hangley Aronchick Segal & Pudlin One Logan Square, 27th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

GEORGE MEDLOCK, ESQUIRE

Comcast Cable Communications

Chief Patent Counsel

Laws Transcription Service 48 W. LaCrosse Avenue Lansdowne, PA 19050 (610)623-4178

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

For the Defendants: DAVID E. FINKELSON, ESQUIRE

BRIAN C. RIOPELLE, ESQUIRE JUSTIN R. LOWERY, ESQUIRE

McGuire Woods, LLP

Gateway Plaza

800 East Canal Street Richmond, VA 23219

COLLEEN H. SIMPSON, ESQUIRE Harkins Cunningham, LLP 4000 Two Commerce Square

2001 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

\_ \_ \_

Audio Operator: Michael Cosgrove

Transcribed by: Geraldine C. Laws, CET

Paula Curran, CET

(Proceedings recorded by For The Record electronic sound recording; transcript produced by AAERT-certified transcribers.)

4 skill in the art. 1 Thank you. 2 THE COURT: 3 MR. GOETTLE: Your Honor, Comcast is also fine with 4 the instruction. And in addition to what Mr. Riopelle just 5 pointed out, well we did go back and look at the Federal Circuit Bar Association's rules and the ALTIA rules and what 6 7 you instructed the jury on yesterday is consistent with those 8 rules. And in addition to what Mr. Riopelle pointed out, also on page 32 where they're scoping content of the prior 9 10 art instruction, we think between this your instructions have 11 covered what they need to cover. 12 THE COURT: All right. There are alternatives. The 13 Federal Circuit has really complicated, I think, the law applicable to obviousness because there is authority 14 15 including an alternative instruction in the Federal Circuit 16 Bar Association Model Patent Jury Instructions, whereby the jury makes findings of fact and the Judge decides the 17 obviousness issue. 18 19 There's agreement and I have no problem with the 20 charge as it's drafted. 21 I think what I'll do is call the jury in -- where's

I think what I'll do is call the jury in -- where's Milahn? Tell them the charge is as we have given it to them and we'll deliver the black notebooks. Better tell them that they will have ordered lunch. Again remind them about the schedule today, and tell them we want a note at 4:15 as to

22

23

24

```
5
    what their intentions are tonight.
 1
 2
              Do you want to bring the jury in?
 3
              MR. RIOPELLE: How about a note no later than 4:15?
              THE COURT: Pardon me?
 4
 5
              MR. RIOPELLE: How about saying a note no later than
 6
            I don't want to encourage them they have to stay until
     4:15?
 7
     4:15.
 8
              THE COURT:
                         No.
 9
              MR. GOETTLE: Your Honor? Just while we're waiting
10
     for the jury I just wanted to point out Mr. Hangley had a
11
     doctor's appointment and that's why he's not here. I just
12
    wanted to point out that he didn't just will-nilly not
13
    appear.
14
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
15
              (Jury enters the courtroom at 9:45 o'clock a.m.)
16
              THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be
    seated.
17
18
              After you left last night I talked to counsel again
19
    about the charge.
                        They thought about it overnight.
                                                          I did,
20
     too. And we've decided that it does not need anything else.
21
     There will be no additional instructions on the law. Of
22
    course if you have a question, as I've told you, you should
23
    have the foreperson write the question out, submit it to the
24
    person who will be by the jury room door and we'll answer it.
25
              That means these three black notebooks you're
```

looking at will be provided to you. It includes the entire charge. Again keep in mind that there's a table of contents, make it easier for you to get from a question to the right subject and then the instructions on that subject.

Schedule for today. Ms. Hull, has lunch been ordered?

DEPUTY CLERK HULL: Lunch has been ordered to arrive around 12:30.

THE COURT: Good, fine.

You'll begin your deliberations now. I'm not going to set a schedule, but I think it's possible you'll reach a verdict early in the afternoon. It's also possible that you might not. If you haven't reached a verdict by 4:15 I want you to send me a note. Your foreperson should send me a note telling me what you want to do. The alternatives are go home and come back on -- I hate to say this, but it would be Tuesday because Monday is a legal holiday; stay and not have dinner, and in that case if you miss a train or a bus or a ride and need transportation, Ms. Hull is the person to talk to. We don't want you hanging around train stations or bus terminals late at night. We don't want you to have to wait.

The third alternative would be stay and have dinner. As I told you and I'm smiling as I say this because I've seen the dinners, you would not want to come back to the courthouse for dinner in the future based on our dinners, but

```
7
    at least it gives you something to eat. I hope you've been
 1
 2
    getting breakfast. I'm getting a nod from Ms. Hull, that's
 3
    good.
 4
              So you're to begin your deliberations. Again if you
 5
    reach a verdict early afternoon, that's fine. You'll follow
    the instructions on the verdict sheet. If you haven't
 6
 7
    reached a verdict by 4:15, please send me a note telling me
 8
    what you want to do.
 9
              All right, is there anything else, Counsel? Mr.
10
    Goettle?
11
              MR. GOETTLE: No, your Honor.
              MR. FINKELSON: No, your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT: Fine.
              DEPUTY CLERK HULL: All rise.
14
15
              (Jury exits the courtroom at 9:49 o'clock a.m.)
16
              THE COURT: Be seated, everyone.
              As I said, we're not going to require you to hang
17
18
    around the courthouse, but we don't want to call 127 lawyers.
19
    Who will be the contact people? Do we have your cell phone
20
    numbers?
21
              MR. FINKELSON: I believe you do, but I'll make sure
22
    Ms. Hull has them before I go anywhere.
23
              THE COURT: Where would you be thinking of going?
24
              MR. FINKELSON: The 11th floor. (Laughter.)
                                                            And
25
    maybe just outside. I'm not going far.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Is something going on on the 11th floor,
 2
    or was that said in jest?
 3
             MR. FINKELSON: No, that's where our room is so it's
 4
    become our home away from home is down there. Ms. Hull has
 5
    set us up with two rooms down there which has worked out very
    well. But I'm not going far, your Honor.
 6
 7
             MR. GOETTLE: I'm going to go to the Constitution
 8
    Center.
             I'm kidding. We'll be right here. (Laughter.)
 9
             THE COURT: No, that's great. I bumped into Jeff
10
    Rosen at the opera looking very relaxed. I was not looking
11
    very relaxed. He is the CEO of the Constitution Center and
12
    really, if you haven't seen it, it's really quite
13
    spectacular.
14
             MR. GOETTLE: I agree.
15
             THE COURT: It's certainly worth the trip.
16
             All right. Well, we'll get your contact numbers and
    we'll call you if they have any questions and certainly if
17
18
     they have a verdict.
19
              All right, is there anything else we have to do?
20
              MR. GOETTLE: No, your Honor.
21
             MR. FINKELSON: No, your Honor. Thank you.
22
              THE COURT: All right then we're in recess. I'm
23
    going to stay up here and try to clean up (laughter) a little
    bit.
24
25
              (Recess taken from 9:51 o'clock a.m. until 3:56
```

```
9
    o'clock p.m.)
 1
 2
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
 3
              THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone.
 4
              ALL: Good afternoon, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Be seated, please. I've been told the
 6
    jury has reached a unanimous verdict. So, we will call the
 7
    jury in.
 8
              (Pause.)
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
 9
10
              (Jury enters.)
11
              THE COURT: Be seated, everyone. I have been told
12
     that you've reached a unanimous verdict. Good and has the
13
     foreperson completed the verdict sheet in accordance with
     instructions? Ms. Hull, will you retrieve the verdict sheet.
14
15
    Thank you.
16
              (Pause.)
              THE COURT: All right, you've answered all of the
17
    questions in accordance with my instructions. Let the
18
19
    verdict be recorded, Ms. Hull.
20
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Members of the jury, please rise.
21
    Members of the jury, have you agreed upon your verdict based
22
    on the verdict slip from the Court?
23
              JURORS: Yes.
24
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: The jury unanimously agrees to
25
    the following verdict.
```

Question Number 1, Did Comcast prove by a 1 2 preponderance of the evidence that Sprint had infringed any 3 of the filing claims of the '870 Patent by providing SMS and 4 MMS messaging service other than Syniverse Picture Mail, 5 Claim 1? 6 THE FOREPERSON: Yes. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Claim 7? 8 THE FOREPERSON: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Claim 113? 9 10 THE FOREPERSON: Yes. 11 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Question 2, did Sprint prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following 12 13 claims of the '870 Patent are invalid as anticipated by a single prior art reference, Claim 1? 14 15 THE FOREPERSON: No. 16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Claim 7? THE FOREPERSON: No. 17 18 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Claim 113? 19 THE FOREPERSON: No. 20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Did Sprint --21 THE COURT: Question 3. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Question 3, I'm sorry. Did 22 23 Sprint prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the 24 following claims of the '870 Patent are invalid as obvious at 25 the time of the invention to a person of ordinary skill in

```
11
 1
    the art. Claim 1?
 2
              THE FOREPERSON:
                              No.
 3
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Claim 7?
 4
              THE FOREPERSON: No.
 5
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Claim 113?
 6
              THE FOREPERSON: Yes.
 7
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Question 4, what sum of money, if
 8
    any, do you find that Comcast has proven by a preponderance
 9
    of the evidence is adequate to compensate Comcast for
10
     Sprint's infringement of the '870 Patent?
11
              THE FOREPERSON: $1.5 million.
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Question 5, is the sum of money
12
13
    identified in your answer to Question 4 the total sum an
    ongoing royalty for messages sent or received through
14
15
    September 30, 2016?
16
              THE COURT: Or -- well you have to check one.
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: It didn't --
17
18
              THE COURT: Or a one-time lump sum royalty for the
19
    life of the '870 Patent. Which line did you check?
20
              THE FOREPERSON: A one-time.
21
              THE COURT: Read the whole thing, please.
22
              THE FOREPERSON: A one-time, lump sum royalty for
    the life of the '870 Patent.
23
24
                          Thank you. And then you signed the
              THE COURT:
25
    verdict sheet as the foreperson and dated it, is that
```

1 correct? 2 THE FOREPERSON: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Will you retrieve the 4 verdict sheet, please, Ms. Hull? 5 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes. THE COURT: And you may be seated, ladies and 6 7 gentlemen. Does either party have a request for polling? 8 MR. HANGLEY: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. It's customary, ladies and 9 10 gentlemen, for the parties to ask each juror whether the 11 verdict read by the foreperson is their verdict and we're 12 going to do that now with respect to each of you. Ms. Hull? 13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Will each juror rise as I state your number, please? Juror Number 1, do you agree with the 14 15 verdict as stated by the foreperson? 16 JUROR NO. 1: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Juror Number 2, do 17 18 you agree with the verdict as stated by the foreperson? 19 JUROR NO. 2: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Juror Number 3, do 20 21 you agree with the verdict as stated by the foreperson? 22 JUROR NO. 3: Yes. 23 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror Number 4, do you agree with 24 the verdict as stated by the foreperson? 25 JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Juror Number 5, do 2 you agree with the verdict as stated by the foreperson? 3 JUROR NO. 5: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror Number 7, do you agree with 4 5 the verdict as stated by the foreperson? JUROR NO. 7: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Juror Number 8, do you agree with the 8 verdict as stated by the foreperson? 9 JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 10 THE DEPUTY CLERK: And Juror Number 9, is the 11 verdict stated by you, here in open court, your true and 12 correct verdict? 13 JUROR NO. 9: Yes. 14 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Let the verdict be recorded. 16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Members of the jury, please rise. Members of the jury, hearken to your verdict as the Court has 17 recorded it in the issue joined in Civil Action Number 12-18 19 859, wherein Comcast Cable Communications, LLC, as plaintiff 20 and Sprint Spectrum, LP is defendant, you answered the 21 questions as follows: 22 Question Number 1, did Comcast prove by a 23 preponderance of the evidence that Sprint has infringed any 24 of the following claims of the '870 Patent by providing SMS and MMS messaging through messaging servers other than 25

Syniverse Picture Mail. For Claim 1, yes. For Claim 7, yes.

And Claim 113, yes.

Question Number 2, did Sprint prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '870 Patent are invalid as anticipated by a single prior art reference? For Claim 1, no. For Claim 7, no. Claim 113, no.

Question Number 3, did Sprint prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '870 Patent are invalid as obvious at the time of the invention to a person of ordinary skill in the art? Claim 1, no. Claim 7, no. Claim 113, yes.

Question 4, what sum of money, if any, do you find that Comcast has proven by a preponderance of the evidence is adequate to compensate Comcast for Sprint's infringement of the '870 Patent? \$1.5 million.

Lastly, question 5, is the sum of money identified in your answer is a one-time lump sum royalty for the life of the '870 Patent? And so say you all?

JURORS: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. You may be seated. Are there any applications or motions that the parties seek to advance at this time? I don't see the need for any.

MR. HANGLEY: None at this time, your Honor.

MR. FINKELSON: None at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Fine. Well, it's been a long three weeks. You've heard a lot of evidence and it was very technical and very complicated and you paid rapt attention. I watched you as we proceeded through the trial and there were no times, that I observed, when you were not doing exactly what was expected of you and that is listening to the evidence, trying to absorb it and as you left for your deliberations, I was convinced that you would take your jobs, your duties very seriously and endeavor to reach a verdict. And you've done all of that, you've done what was expected of you and you should feel very good about that.

We read a lot about litigation, about cases that are filed, but until you serve on the jury, you really don't know how the system works. And now you know how the system works and you learned it, I might say, in a case in which the learning process had to be very, very difficult. And you should feel very good about all of that.

I told you during the trial that you could not discuss the case with anyone at home. And now, of course, you may do so. I encourage you to discuss the case from the procedural perspective. You don't have to discuss the merits, but you can. But I encourage you do discuss the process with the folks at home, because very few people know anything other than what we see on television when it comes to cases that are tried in Federal and State Courts. You've

be part of it. You've experienced jury selection, as you've heard the presentation of evidence. And I think it's safe to say the presentation of this rather complicated case was about as good as it gets. I think the lawyers did their very best to make certain that the evidence was presented in a way that was as easy to understand as you could make it and that's a difficult chore.

I thought the lawyering on both sides was as good as I've seen in any case in which I've presided. And I've presided in a number of cases. I've been on the bench for quite some time.

When discussing the case with others and again, this is your call, you don't have to and no one is permitted to ask you, unless you agree, is permitted to ask you about how you reached your verdict. But if you talk about the merits of the case, I urge you, not ordering you, I urge you to respect the privacy of the other members of the jury. You certainly can talk about how you voted and why you voted. But I urge you to respect the privacy of the comments made by other jurors.

Now, I haven't seen any reporters in the courtroom, but when the verdict is announced, they might very well come calling. Either by telephone or e-mail or whatever and you certainly may talk to them. But you can also say no and they know that if you say no, that's the end of the discussion.

You do not have to explain your verdict to anyone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And now, my thanks and the thanks of all of my colleagues and the parties in this case for your service. You gave up three weeks. I hope it's been an interesting experience. I'm going to, as soon as I finish a few details with counsel, among other things, I've got to get rid of hundreds of exhibits that are sitting in the corner that we haven't had need to look at. But the bottom line, I want to come in and talk to you, so unless you have something urgenturgent to do, wait just a few minutes. It will take me just a few minutes in the courtroom and then I will come in and talk to you. If you wish to talk to counsel, they will remain in the courtroom. But that's your call and there are two ways out of your jury room. The straight way, which doesn't bring you into the courtroom, you can just leave. you can come into the courtroom and talk to counsel. Your call.

All right, with that, Ms. Hull, we will release the jury, again, with my thanks and the thanks of counsel.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

(Jury excused.)

THE COURT: Be seated, everyone. I'm not going to comment on the verdict. They certainly got there in about six hours. They started deliberating at 10:00 o'clock. I really think they absorbed what you presented as best they

I remind you that to me, at least, less is more and I shudder to think about the pile of papers that you can generate with about 30 or 40 of you and only two of us. But I encourage you, if you can see your way clear, to skip the next step in this court and if you have any further proceedings, that you try another court, the one that sits -- I think I'm going south. No, I'm not, south is that way -- the one that sits in Washington.

I really meant what I said about the way the case was tried. It was really a pleasure and gave me an opportunity to meet some fine new lawyers and to pick on Hangley, because we've known one another for a long, long time. And I think the lawyering was just superb.

MR. FINKELSON: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. RIOPELLE: Thank you.

MR. GOETTLE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Lesser lawyers could not have begun to present this case. I don't think I could have presented it the way, well, I'm going to leave Hangley out of it. The way, because Hangley presented the issue of how exhibits should be handled and that was rather esoteric, but you guys just did a superb job and I commend you for that.

I don't have need for the boxes. I learned one thing during the trial. I'm not going to order routinely, at

least, two copies of all exhibits. I think the way you presented the case didn't require that, at all. And I apologize to the people who spent as much time as I know was spent in putting together all of these exhibits and these filings. You don't have to get them out of here today or Monday, which is a legal holiday, but sooner is better than later. We have the exhibits books that you gave us for each witness. I don't think we have need for that, so we will return them. I don't think -- okay, we will return them.

As a matter of fact, are both sets of exhibits there, Comcast and Sprint's?

MR. FINKELSON: Yes, your Honor.

MR. HANGLEY: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, well, we'll put the exhibit books over there and Comcast will take its and Sprint will take its. Now, is there anything else we need do at this time?

MR. HANGLEY: On a personal note, your Honor, first of all, thank you on behalf, I'm sure, of all of us, for the kind remarks. Second, I know that both arguing counsel have already thanked the jury for their hard work. I think that has some more genuineness to it when it comes after the verdict. There may be things that are raised again as issues in other forums or this forum, but one thing I think we're all clear on, this was a jury that deserves to be thanked for

```
working as hard as they did and coming the distances that
 1
 2
     they did. And as somebody who plows these fields regularly,
 3
     I was really totally impressed with the way that they
 4
    conducted themselves. And I ask you to tell them that on
 5
    behalf, I think, of all of us.
              THE COURT: Well, I will and I'm certainly going to
 6
 7
    invite them, after I spend a few minutes with them, to chat
 8
    with you, but that's pretty much their call. I find that
    some do, many don't, but I'll leave that to them.
 9
10
              Mr. Goettle, is there anything you wish to add?
11
              MR. GOETTLE: I know that the parties have put a
12
     tremendous burden on the Court and everybody here involved,
13
    so we do very much appreciate all the hard work that you have
    put in, in this case. We really do appreciate it.
14
15
              THE COURT: Oh, you mean the two or three months
     that we've spent on this case?
16
17
              MR. GOETTLE: Yes, the just two or three months,
18
    yes.
19
              MR. RIOPELLE: Mr. Peterson told me he's going to
20
    try to become a patent lawyer in his new firm.
21
              THE COURT: I missed that.
22
              MR. HANGLEY: Because it's long-term employment,
23
    right?
24
              THE COURT: Oh, my, well, it really was -- when it's
```

over you can reflect on it and it was a real learning

```
1
    experience. I don't want to let the word be out that I try
 2
    patent cases, because I'm not looking forward to another one
 3
     like this anytime soon. But it was quite challenging and
 4
    well-presented. Mr. Finkelson, anything you wish to --
 5
             MR. FINKELSON: I would just echo Mr. Goettle's
 6
    comments. We appreciate all of the Court's courtesies and
 7
    all of the Court's work that you put into this, to you and
 8
    your entire team. It's really, it's been a pleasure for us.
              THE COURT: My entire team, you're looking at it.
 9
10
              MR. RIOPELLE: Well, Mr. Cosgrove --
11
              MR. FINKELSON: You keep saying team. But when the
12
    binders came out, I saw a few other people back there, too.
13
              THE COURT: No, they're spectators and they're still
14
     in the courtroom. They're going to come with me into jury
15
     room.
             MR. FINKELSON: But we do extend our thanks to you.
16
    We do.
17
18
              THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Riopelle?
19
             MR. RIOPELLE: Yes, your Honor, I would like to
20
    extend my personal thanks to you for the accommodation you
21
    gave to me and my family during this case and it was very
22
    much appreciated.
23
              THE COURT: Well, I don't want to minimize that, but
    that was easiest decision I had to make.
24
25
```

MR. RIOPELLE: I appreciate it, thank you.

THE COURT: Well, our sincere condolences. I can't imagine how, with all the work you had to do, how a family tragedy such as you experienced, didn't impact your thought process and your drive and it didn't show at all. And again, our condolences on the loss of your mother-in-law. And Ms. Simpson, who wrote that great letter.

MS. SIMPSON: No further comments.

THE COURT: But that was the letter that resolved a number of problems, so, it really worked and I thank you for that. Okay, I'm going off the bench.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

(Court adjourned 4:18 o'clock p.m.)

13 \* \*

## CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

S:/Geraldine C. Laws, CET Date 2/21/17 Laws Transcription Service